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#### Abstract

In 1856, Hamiltonian introduced the Hamiltonian Graph where a Graph which is covered all the vertices without repetition and end with starting vertex. In this Paper I would like to prove that Let " $G$ " be a Complete graph with at least four vertices. Then, the line graph " $L(G)$ " is Complete Hamiltonian if and only if " $G$ " is dominating trailable.
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## Introduction :

Graphs, considered here, are finite, undirected and simple and complete Graphs being followed for terminology and notation. let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph, with $V$ the set of vertices and $E$ the set of edges. Suppose that $W$ is a nonempty subset of $V$. The sub graph of $G$, whose vertex set is $W$ and whose edge set is the set of those edges of $G$ that have both ends in $W$, is called the sub
graph of $G$ induced by $W$ and is denoted by $G[W]$. For any vertex $v$ in $V$, the neighbour set of $v$ is the set of all vertices adjacent to $v$. This set is denoted by $N(v)$. For a graph $G=(V, E)$, we shall denote

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\delta(G)=\min |\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{v})| & \Delta(\mathrm{G})=\max |\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{v})| \\
v \in V & v \in V
\end{array}
$$

a graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ is locally complete, if for each vertex $v$ the graph $\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{N}(v)]$ is complete. With every graph $G$, having at least one edge, there exists associated a graph $L(G)$, called the line graph of G , whose vertices, can be put in a one-to-one correspondence with the edges of $G$, in such a way that two vertices of $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges of $G$ are adjacent.

The neighborhood is often denoted $N_{G}(v)$ or (when the graph is unambiguous) $N(v)$. The same neighborhood notation may also be used to refer to sets of adjacent vertices rather than the corresponding induced sub graphs. The neighborhood described above does not include $v$ itself, and is more specifically the open neighborhood of $v$; it is also possible to define a neighborhood in which $v$ itself is included, called the closed neighborhood and denoted by $N_{G}[v]$. When stated without any qualification, a neighborhood is assumed to be open.
1.1 Definition: A graph - usually denoted $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ or $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})-$ consists of set of vertices V together with a set of edges E. The number of vertices in a graph is usually denoted $n$ while the number of edges is usually denoted $m$.
1.2 Definition: Vertices are also known as nodes, points and (in social networks) as actors, agents or players.
1.3 Definition: Edges are also known as lines and (in social networks) as ties or links. An edge $\mathrm{e}=(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$ is defined by the unordered pair of vertices that serve as its end points.
1.4 Example: The graph depicted in Figure 1 has vertex set $\mathrm{V}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{f}\}$ and edge set $\mathrm{E}=\{(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}),(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}),(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}),(\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f})\}$.


Figure 1.

1. 5 Definition: Two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent if there exists an edge $(u, v)$ that connects them.
1.6 Definition: An edge ( $u, v$ ) is said to be incident upon nodes $u$ and $v$.
1.7 Definition: An edge $\mathrm{e}=(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u})$ that links a vertex to itself is known as a self-loop or reflexive tie.
1.8 Definition: Every graph has associated with it an adjacency matrix, which is a binary $n \times n$ matrix A in which $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ji}}=1$ if vertex vi is adjacent to vertex vj , and aij $=0$ and $\mathrm{aji}=0$ otherwise. The natural graphical representation of an adjacency matrix is a table, such as shown below.

|  | a | b | c | d | e | f |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| d | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| e | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| f | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Adjacency matrix for graph in Figure 1.
1.9 Definition: Examining either Figure 1 or given adjacency Matrix, we can see that not every vertex is adjacent to every other. A graph in which all vertices are adjacent to all others is said to be complete.
1.10 Definition: While not every vertex in the graph in Figure 1 is adjacent, one can construct a sequence of adjacent vertices from any vertex to any other. Graphs with this property are called connected.
1.11 Note: Reachability. Similarly, any pair of vertices in which one vertex can reach the other via a sequence of adjacent vertices is called reachable. If we determine reachability for every pair of vertices, we can construct a reachability matrix R such as depicted in Figure 2. The matrix R can be thought of as the result of applying transitive closure to the adjacency matrix A.


Figure: 2
1.12 Definition : A walk is closed if $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$. degree of the vertex and is denoted $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{v})$.
1.13 Definition : A tree is a connected graph that contains no cycles. In a tree, every pair of points is connected by a unique path. That is, there is only one way to get from A to B .


Figure 3: A labeled tree with $\epsilon$ vertices and 5 edges
1.14 Definition: A spanning tree for a graph $G$ is a sub-graph of $G$ which is a tree that includes every vertex of $G$.
1.15 Definition: The length of a walk (and therefore a path or trail) is defined as the number of edges it contains. For example, in Figure 3, the path $a, b, c, d, e$ has length 4.
1.16 Definition: The number of vertices adjacent to a given vertex is called the degree of the vertex and is denoted d(v).
1.17 Definition : In the mathematical field of graph theory, a bipartite graph (or bigraph) is a graph whose vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets $U$ and $V$ such that every edge connects a vertex in $U$ to one in $V$; that is, $U$ and $V$ are independent sets. Equivalently, a bipartite graph is a graph that does not contain any odd-length cycles.


Figure 4: Example of a bipartite graph.
1.18 Definition : An Eulerian circuit in a graph $G$ is circuit which includes every vertex and every edge of G. It may pass through a vertex more than once, but because it is a circuit it traverse each edge exactly once. A graph which has an Eulerian circuit is called an Eulerian graph. An Eulerian path in a graph $G$ is a walk which passes through every vertex of $G$ and which traverses each edge of G exactly once
1.19 Example : Königsberg bridge problem: The city of Königsberg (now Kaliningrad) had seven bridges on the Pregel River. People were wondering whether it would be possible to take a walk through the city passing exactly once on each bridge. Euler built the representative graph, observed that it had vertices of odd degree, and proved that this made such a walk impossible. Does there exist a walk crossing each of the seven bridges of Königsberg exactly once?


Figure 5: Konigsberg problem

## 2. Complete Graphs, Locally Complete Graphs, Hamiltonian Graphs, Line Graphs

## In this section we have to prove that main theorem using definitions.

2.1 Definition: A Hamilton circuit is a path that visits every vertex in the graph exactly once and return to the starting vertex. Determining whether such paths or circuits exist is an NP-complete problem. In the diagram below, an example Hamilton Circuit would be

### 2.2 Example :



## Figure: Hamilton Circuit would be AEFGCDBA.

2.3 Definition : Compete Graph: A simple graph in which there exists an edge between every pair of vertices is called a complete graph.
2.4 Definition : Let $\{\mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{v} 2 \ldots . . \mathrm{vn}\}$ be the vertex set of a graph G , and for each ' $\alpha$ '. let $N i$ *denote the closed neighborhood of $v_{\mathrm{a}}$. Let $N_{\mathrm{a}}$ be any subset of $\mathrm{N}_{\alpha}{ }^{*}$ containing $v_{a}$ which generates a complete subgraph $C_{a}$ of G. Then $C_{a}$ is called a complete sub neighborhood of $v_{\mathrm{a}}$, and the indexed family $\mathrm{C}^{*}=\left\{\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \ldots, C_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ is called a complete family for G if $\mathrm{G}=\cup \mathrm{C}^{*}$. A graph G is called locally complete iff G has at least one complete family.
2.5 Examples : It is easily seen that complete graphs, trees, and unicyclic graphs are also locally complete.

The complete bigraph $K_{3,2}$ is the smallest (nontrivial, connected) graph which fails to be locally complete.
2.6 Proposition : If G is Hamiltonian, then $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ is Hamiltonian.

Proof: This is a nice, basic result to see if a line graph is Hamiltonian.
A graph is Hamiltonian if there exists a Hamiltonian cycle in the graph.
It may be easier to find a Hamiltonian cycle in $G$ than $L(G)$, , but from this proposition, we would get that $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ is Hamiltonian.
2.7 Theorem : Let ' $G$ ' be a complete graph having $n \geq 3$ vertices then $L(G)$ is Complete Hamiltonian
2.8 Theorem : Let G be a Complete graph with at least four vertices. Then, the line graph
$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ is Complete Hamiltonian if and only if G is dominating trail able.
Proof. We begin by assuming $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ is Complete Hamiltonian.
So, between any two vertices, $x$ and $y$, in $L(G)$,
we have a Hamiltonian path written as
$x=x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}=y$, where $n+1$ is the number of vertices in $L(G)$.
Since the vertices of $L(G)$ correspond to the edges of $G$, then $x_{0}, x_{1}, x 2, \ldots, x n$ is a sequence of edges in $G$, where $x i \in E(G)$ for $i=0,1, \ldots ., n$.

Let vi be the common vertex between xi and $x i+1$ in $G$ and create a list of vertices as v0, v1, ..., vn.

Now, in this list of vertices $\mathrm{v} 0, \mathrm{v} 1, \ldots, \mathrm{vn}$, some vertices may appear more than once.
So, create a subset $w 1, w 2, \ldots, w k$, where each vertex appears only once and $k \leq n$.
In creating this, once a vertex is listed, we won't list it again.
Now, for two vertices wi and wi +1 , where $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$, list the corresponding edge between these two as ei.

Then, $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{w} 1, \mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{w} 2, \mathrm{e} 2, \ldots, \mathrm{wk}, \mathrm{ek}, \mathrm{y}$ is a dominating trail in G between edges $x$ and $y$,
since every edge in G is incident with one of $\mathrm{w} 1, \mathrm{w} 2, \ldots, \mathrm{wk}$.
Since this trail works for all edges in G,
we can say that G is dominating trailable.
Conversely, we can assume G is dominating trailable, and let x and y be edges of G .
Then, there exists a dominating trail between x and y written as $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{v} 1, \mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{v} 2, \ldots, \mathrm{vn}, \mathrm{xn}=\mathrm{y}$, where $\mathrm{xi} \in \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})$ for all $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$.

So, $n$ is the number of internal vertices of the trail. For the remaining edges not listed in the dominating trail, we will partition in the following way.

Create n sets, labeled S1, S2, ..., Sn.
Next, for an edge incident with vi, place that edge in the corresponding set, Si .
Then, start this process with v 1 , and once an edge is placed in a set, do not consider that edge again. Notice that some sets may be empty, and some sets may have more than one element. Define the elements of Si as $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}, 1,1, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}, 2,2, \ldots, \mathrm{Si}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{r}$ where r is the length of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$.

Then, consider the list $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{Sn}$, y written as
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{s}_{1}, 1,1, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, 2,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, 1,1, \ldots, \mathrm{sn}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{y}$.
Since the edges of G correspond to
This the vertices of $L(G)$, we now classify this sequence as a list of vertices in $L(G)$. This sequence is a path, since it consists of distinct vertices of $L(G)$ with each vertex in the list adjacent to the one before and after it. By construction, we have accounted
for every edge in $G$, and thus every vertex in $L(G)$. makes the path
$\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{s}_{1}, 1,1, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, 2,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, 1,1, \ldots, \mathrm{sn}_{1}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{y}$ a Hamiltonian path in $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$.
Since this is true for any $x$ and $y \in E(G)$,
$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})$ is Complete Hamiltonian
Hence The Theorem.
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