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#### Abstract


Objective(s): To determine factors associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients seen in outpatient departments.

Materials and Methods: An unmatched 1:1 case-control study design was used in the study. A total of 120 respondents were interviewed. Data was analysed through logistic regression with defaulting as the outcome.

Results: Experiencing side effects caused by antihypertensive medication of drowsiness (Adjusted Odds Ratio $=8.5 ; 95 \%$ CI: 2, 36.2), impaired vision $($ AOR $=10.4 ; 95 \%$ CI: 3.1, 34.8) and time spent at the health facility which was more that 1 to 7 hours (AOR $=9.1 ; 95 \%$ CI: 2.7, 30.3) were associated with defaulting. Knowledge of hypertensive retinopathy as a consequence of uncontrolled hypertension was the only independent risk factor associated
with reduced likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients $(\mathrm{AOR}=0.1 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $0.03,0.3$ ).

Conclusion: Increasing awareness of hypertensive complications may reduce defaulting.
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### 1.0 Introduction

Hypertension is one of the biggest health care problems in the world, affecting at least 1.13 billion people world-wide. It is associated with stroke and heart disease which are the major causes of death in the developed world. Hypertensive complications are responsible for about 7.1 million deaths per year and $4.5 \%$ of the disease burden which translate to 64 million disability adjusted life years (DALYs). Thus, hypertension has become a public health problem in both developed and developing countries with serious morbidity and mortality.

Adherence to antihypertensive therapy is a major way to ensure control of the condition and prolong the hypertensive trajectory (Varleta et al., 2017). However, increasing pill burden along the course of the illness (Koffi et al., 2017) may contribute to reduced adherence to antihypertensive therapy. Thus, while there is a wide range of effective antihypertensive medicines, efforts to control the condition can be hampered by non-adherence to drugs and non-compliance with medical advice (Mafutha \& Wright, 2013).

Treatment can control hypertension and reduce co-morbidities and costs of the diseases. But if patients cannot be diagnosed or be compliant with their treatment, the risks of comorbidities and costs may increase. It was reported that $77.6 \%$ of hypertensive patients are aware that they have hypertension, but only $67.9 \%$ of diagnosed patients are receiving current treatment and only $38 \%$ of treated patients are compliant.

Non-compliance to antihypertensive medicine is a huge setback in sustainable control of hypertension. As low as $15 \%$ were found to be totally compliant to prescribed antihypertensive regiments (Koffi et al., 2017). Individuals who are non-adherent to antihypertensive medicine are more likely to have poor blood pressure control (Abegaz, Shehab, Gebreyohannes, Bhagavathula, \& Elnour, 2017).

The purpose of this study was to determine factors associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients seen in outpatient departments. Predictors of adherence to antihypertensive therapy were based on the health belief model.

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted through an analytical unmatched 1:1 case-control design. Cases were hypertensive patients with a history of defaulting antihypertensive therapy. Controls were people with hypertension who had never defaulted antihypertensive drugs and have been on antihypertensive medicine for at least six months. Chirumhanzu district has the highest prevalence of hypertension in Midlands province. The study was conducted in the 4 hospitals in Chirumhanzu District, Zimbabwe namely: Mvuma, Holy Cross, Muvonde and St Theresa hospitals. Chirumhanzu district has a catchment population of 77954 , which is approximately 5\% of the population of Midlands Province (Zimbabwe National Statistics Agency (ZIMSTAT), 2012; Zimbabwe National Statistics Agency (ZIMSTAT), 2015; Zimbabwe National Statistics Agency (ZIMSTAT) \& ICF International, 2016). An expected frequency of $15 \%$ of adherence to hypertensive drugs and those non-adherent were more likely to have defaulted due to hypertensive side effects Odds ratio 5.16 (1.13-23.66) (Hussain \& Boonshuyar, 2009) was used to calculate sample size using EPI Info Stat Cal at $95 \%$ confidence interval level and power of $80 \%$. Basing on a response rate of $90 \%$. The required sample size ( n ) was $80 ; 40$ cases and 40 Controls. In the current study, 60 cases and

60 controls were selected for interviews randomly using the hypertension attendance register as the sampling frame.

Cases: hypertension patients 15 years and above who missed treatment by not receiving medication for at least one month during the previous six months (June-Dec 2011) from any health facility be it Government hospital, clinic or private pharmacy.

Controls: hypertensive patients who had never missed treatment by collecting the monthly supply for six months (June-Dec 2011) from any health facility. Individuals with pregnancyinduced hypertension were excluded from the study as their condition was temporary, in most cases. Moreover, seriously ill patients were also excluded from participating in the study.

### 2.1 Ethics

Only participants who gave documented voluntary consent were interviewed. Permission to carry out the study was granted by the District Medical Officer, Provincial Medical Director of Midlands Province and the Medical Research Council of Zimbabwe. All data was secured in locked cupboards and handled confidentially.

### 2.2 Data management

Data was collected in 4 weeks using a structured interview schedule. The interviews were conducted in private rooms. A checklist was used to identify resource availability for the follow up and treatment of hypertension. Data was analysed for descriptive statistics and multiple logistic regression using Epi Info software.

### 3.0 RESULTS

### 3.1 Demographic characteristics of the cases and controls

One hundred and twenty respondents were included in the study of which there were 60 cases and 60 controls. The response rate was 100 percent. Four cases were excluded and replaced because of dementia and ill health. Two controls were excluded as they had survived a recent stroke and had impaired speech and hearing acuity. They were replaced with 2 control participants.

The median age of the cases was 57 years $(Q 1=51: Q 3=67)$ and of the controls was 60 years (Q1=28: Q3=69).there were more females (75\% of the cases and 71.7\% of the controls) as compared to males ( $25 \%$ of the cases and $28.3 \%$ of the controls.) The majority of the cases $43(71.7 \%)$ and $49(81.7 \%)$ controls lived in the rural or communal areas. There was some significance difference $\mathrm{p}=0.003$ in the distribution of cases and controls with regard to residence in rural/communal areas. Of the controls $13.3 \%$ lived in urban/ mining areas as compared with $18.3 \%$ of the cases.

The majority of the respondents were married $36(60 \%)$ of cases as compared to $28(46.7 \%)$ of the controls. Educational level of the cases and controls was very low as $39(65 \%)$ of the cases were at primary level and below as compared to 26 (43.3\%) of the controls. Twentyone ( $35 \%$ ) of the cases and $34(56.6 \%)$ of the controls had attained at least secondary education. There was some statistical significant difference on $\chi^{2} \mathrm{p}$-value 0.0006 in the religion of Catholics as 33 (55\%) were cases as compared to 46 ( $76.7 \%$ ) of the controls. The sociodemographic characteristics for the cases and controls are summarised in table 1 below.

Table 1: Comparison of Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Cases and Controls

| Variable | Cases | Controls | $p$ Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{6 0}$ (\%) | $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{6 0}$ (\%) |  |
| Age (median, IQR) | 57 (51,67) | $60(28,69)$ | 0.9 |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Male | 15 (25) | 17 (28.3) | 0.8 |
| Female | 45 (75) | 43 (71.7) |  |
| Area of residence |  |  |  |
| Farming community | 9 (15) | 0 (0) |  |
| Mining community | 6 (10) | 3 (5) |  |
| Rural/ communal | 43 (71.7) | 49 (81.7) | 0.003* |
| Urban | 2 (3.3) | 8 (13.3) |  |
| Educational Level |  |  |  |
| None | 20 (33.3) | 9 (15) |  |
| Primary | 19 (31.7) | 17 (28.3) | 0.06 |
| Secondary | 12 (20) | 20 (33.3) |  |
| Tertiary | 9 (15) | 14 (23.3) |  |
| Religion |  |  |  |
| Apostolic Faith Sects | 0 (0) | 5 (8.3) |  |
| Catholics | 33 (55) | 46 (76.7) | 0.0006* |
| None | 1 (1.7) | 0 (0) |  |
| Pentecostal | 13 (21.7) | 5 (8.3) |  |
| Protestant | 3 (5) | 4 (6.7) |  |
| Traditional | 10 (16.7) | 0 (0) |  |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |
| Formally Employed | 18 (30) | 22 (36.7) |  |
| Self Employed | 6 (10) | 8 (13.3) | 0.5 |
| Unemployed | 36 (60) | 30 (50) |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  |


| Variable | Cases | Controls | $\boldsymbol{p}$ Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{6 0}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{6 0}(\%)$ |  |
| Cohabiting | $0(0)$ | $1(3.3)$ |  |
| Divorced/ Separated | $4(6.7)$ | $28(46.7)$ | $0.05^{*}$ |
| Married | $36(60)$ | $2(3.3)$ |  |
| Single | $5(8.3)$ | $27(45)$ |  |
| Widowed | $15(25)$ |  |  |

Fisher Exact Test*

### 3.2 Factors associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients

Residing in rural /communal area was not associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients [ $\mathrm{OR}=0.6 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.22,1.5$ ) $\mathrm{p}=0.3]$. Having primary education as highest level of education $[\mathrm{OR}=2.4 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.2,5.1) \mathrm{p}=0.03]$ was statistically associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients. Being married or cohabiting [ $\mathrm{OR}=1.5$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.7,3.1$ ) $\mathrm{p}=0.4$ ] was not statistically significant and was not associated with increased likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients. The following socio-economic factors were found to be associated with an increased likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients: income earned per month less than $\$ 250[\mathrm{OR}=4.3 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.9,9.6(\mathrm{p}=0.0006)]$, considering the distance from the health facility to be far $[\mathrm{OR}=13.2 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=5.4,32.5(\mathrm{p}=0.00)]$ and using the Bus going to hospital to collect antihypertensive drugs [OR=18; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=6.1,56.1$ $(\mathrm{p}=0.00)]$.

The majority of the respondent cases and controls were walking $61.7 \%$ to hospital as compared to those who were using the bus $38.3 \%$.

The socio-economic factors associated with decreased likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients were religion being Catholic [ $\mathrm{OR}=0.06 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.16,0.87$ $(\mathrm{p}=0.02)$ ], those who lived a distance of less than 10 kilometres from the hospital [OR=0.06;
$95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.02,0.17(\mathrm{p}=0.00)]$, means of transport to hospital those who were walking to collect antihypertensive drugs [ $\mathrm{OR}=0.06 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.01,0.2(p=0.00)$ ] and spending less than one hour at the health facility [ OR 0.06 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.02,0.1$ ) $\mathrm{p}=0.00$ ].

The sociodemographic demographic predictors of defaulting are summarised in table 2.

Table 2: Socio-Demographic Factors Associated With Defaulting Among Hypertensive Patients

| Risk factor Variables | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cases } \\ & \mathrm{N}=60(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Controls } \\ & \mathrm{N}=60(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | OR (95\% CI) | p-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Reside in rural area } & \text { Yes } \\ & \text { No }\end{array}$ | 43 (71.7) | 49 (81.7) | 0.6 (0.22, 1.46) | 0.3 |
|  | 17 | 11 |  |  |
|  | 39 (65) | 26 (43.3) | 2.4 (1.2, 5.1) | 0.03 |
| Education: none/ Primary Secondary/Tertiary | 21 | 34 |  |  |
| Married/cohabiting | 36 (60) | 30 (50) | 1.5 (0.7, 3.1) | 0.4 |
| Single/Divorced/widowed | 24 | 30 |  |  |
| Employment: unemployed | 24 (40) | 30 (50) | 0.7 (0.3, 1.4) | 0.4 |
| Employed | 36 | 30 |  |  |
| Religion: Catholic $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Yes } \\ \text { No }\end{array}$ | 33 (55) | 46 (76.7) | 0.4 (0.16, 0.87) | 0.02 |
|  | 27 | 14 |  |  |
| Income < $\$ 250$ per month | 48 (80) | 29 (48.3) | 4.3 (1.9, 9.6) | 0.0006 |
| No | 12 | 31 |  |  |
| Income>\$250 per month | 12 (20) | 31 (51.7) | $0.2(0.1,0.6)$ | 0.0006 |
| No | 48 | 29 |  |  |
| Distance $<10 \mathrm{~km}$ to Hospital | 19 (31.6) | 53 (88.3) | 0.06 (0.02, 0.2) | 0.00 |
| No | 41 | 7 |  |  |
| Distance > 10km to Hospital | 41 (68.3) | 7 (11.7) | 16.3 (5.8, 48.2) | 0.00 |
|  | 19 | 53 |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |
| Consider distance to be Far | 42 (70) | 9 (15) | 13.2 (5.4, 32.5) | 0.00 |
| Near | 18 | 51 |  |  |
| Transport means: Walking | 20 (33.3) | 54 (90) | 0.06 (0.02, 0.2) | 0.00 |
| No | 40 | 6 |  |  |
| Transport means: Bus | 40 (66.7) | 6 (10) | 18 (6.1, 56.1) | 0.00 |
| No | 20 | 54 |  |  |
| Time spent at facility<1 hr | 17 (28.3) | 52 (86.7) | 0.06 (0.02, 0.2) | 0.00 |
|  | 43 | 8 |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |
| Time at facility > 1-7hrs | 43 (71.7) | 8 (13.3) | 16.4 (6, 47.1) | 0.00 |
|  | 17 | 52 |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |

### 3.3 Clinical Factors Associated With Defaulting Among Hypertensive Patients

The comparison of medical history of cases and controls on the factors associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients shows the following factors were found to be associated with an increased risk of defaulting among hypertensive patients: taking only one drug $[\mathrm{OR}=2.6 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.27,5.5 \quad(p=0.02)]$, those respondents without co-morbidity involving other organs $[\mathrm{OR}=9.9 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=3.9,25(p=0.00)]$ taking nifedipine as an antihypertensive $[\mathrm{OR}=1.0 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.5,2.1 \quad(p=1.0)]$ although it was not statistically significant at 5\% level.

Those who were taking two or more antihypertensive drugs were associated with decreased likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients [OR=0.4; 95\% CI= 0.1, 0.9 ( $p=0.02$ )]. Participants having co-morbidity of hypertension and Diabetes [OR $=0.1 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.01,0.4$ $(p=0.0002)]$ and co-morbidity with heart diseases $[\mathrm{OR}=0.2 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.1,0.5(p=0.001)]$ were less likely to default.

The cases had a median period on antihypertensive drugs of 4.5 years $(\mathrm{Q} 1=3, \mathrm{Q} 3=8)$ and the controls 5 years $(\mathrm{Q} 1=3, \mathrm{Q} 2=10)$. The factors associated with defaulting are summarised in table 3.

Table 3: Clinical factors associated with defaulting.

| Risk factor Variables | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cases } \\ & \mathrm{N}=60(\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Controls } \\ & \mathrm{N}=60(\%) \end{aligned}$ | OR (95\% CI) | p-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No of hypertensive Drug:1 | 40 (66.7) | 26 (43.3) | 2.6 (1.2, 5.5) | 0.02 |
| drug | 20 | 34 |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |
| Type of hypertensive | 20 (33.3) | 34 (56.7) | 0.4 (0.1, 0.9) | 0.02 |
| Drug:>2 drug | 40 | 26 |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |
| Co-morbidity with Diabetes | 1 (1.7) | 16 (94.1) | 0.05 (0.01, 0.4) | 0.0002 |
| No | 59 | 44 |  |  |
| Co-morbidity with heart | 6 (10) | 22 (36.7) | $0.2(0.1,0.5)$ | 0.001 |
| Diseases | 54 | 38 |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |
| None Co-morbidity Yes | 53 (88.3) | 26 (43.3) | 9.9 (3.9, 25) | 0.00 |


| Risk factor Variables | Cases <br> $\mathbf{N = 6 0}(\%)$ | Controls <br> $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{6 0}(\%)$ | OR (95\% CI) | p-Value |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | No | 7 | 34 |  |  |
| Drug type Nifedipine | Yes | $20(33.3)$ | $20(33.3)$ | $1.0(0.5,2.1)$ | 1.0 |
|  | No | 40 | 40 |  |  |
| Drug type Captopril | Yes | $6(10)$ | $12(20)$ | $0.4(0.6,1.3)$ | 0.2 |
|  | No | 54 | 48 | 0.1 |  |
| Period on hypertensive | $4.5(3,8)$ | $5(3,10)$ |  |  |  |
| Drugs( median, IQR) |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.4 Number of drugs taken by participants

Most of the cases ( $66.7 \%$ as compared to controls $43.3 \%$ ) were on a single antihypertensive drug and $23 \%$ of cases were on two drugs as compared to $50 \%$ of controls as shown in figure 1.


Figure1: Comparison of Cases and Controls on the Number of hypertensive Drugs Being Taken

### 3.5 Perceived susceptibility to the negative consequences of Hypertension

This construct was measured by a 11 item bipolar Likert scale asking cases and controls whether they consider themselves to be at risk at developing complications of hypertension or not. The mentioning of consequences of uncontrolled hypertension caused by omitting antihypertensive medication was used as an indicator of low or high perceptions. The side effects being experienced was used to measure perceived severity of hypertension.

The majority of the cases and the controls had a high risk perception of developing complications of hypertension ( $73.3 \%$ ) of cases and $80 \%$ of controls respectively with an Odds Ratio $=0.7(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.3,1.6)(p=0.5)$. The majority of the cases and controls also agreed that it was likely for life threatening complications to occur $59(98.3 \%$ ) of cases as compared to $55(91.7 \%)$ of controls although it was not statistically significant [OR $=5.4$; $(95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.6,47.3(p=0.1)]$.

On the side effects the majority of the cases (93\%) and controls (91.7 \%) said they experienced headache although it was not statistically significant [OR=1.3; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.3,5$ ( $p=0.5$ )].

The side effects which were associated with increased likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients were experiencing drowsiness [ $\mathrm{OR}=4.7$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.8,12.1 \quad(p=0.002)]$, impaired vision $[\mathrm{OR}=9 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=3.9,20.5(p=0.000001)]$, increased urine flow as a side effect $[\mathrm{OR}=2.3 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.1,4.7 \quad(p=0.04)]$, experiencing of night mares or sleeping difficulties $[\mathrm{OR}=3.9 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.4,10.6(p=0.01)]$.

The side effects which were associated with increased likelihood of defaulting were flushing/ dizziness [OR=3.3; 95\% $\mathrm{CI}=1.4,7.8(p=0.008)]$ and palpitations $[\mathrm{OR}=4.3$; $95 \% \mathrm{CI}=1.8,10.4$ ( $p=0.001$ )]. The following side effects were being experienced and associated with increased
likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients but they were not statistically significant at $5 \%$ level, ankle oedema [ $\mathrm{OR}=2 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.2,23(p=0.5)$ ], impotence/ loss of libido, [ $\mathrm{OR}=3.8 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=0.8,17.2(p=0.08)$ ]. This is summarised in table 4.

Table 4: Comparison of Perceived Susceptibility for Cases and Controls


### 3.6 Perceived barriers indicated by Cases to defaulting among hypertensive patients.

The major reasons mentioned by cases as barriers which contributed to defaulting among hypertensive patients which were lack of funds to purchase drugs $33(55 \%)$, long time spend at the health facility $37(61.6 \%)$, feared that hypertensive drugs causes diabetes 33(55\%),
antihypertensive drugs were out of stock $32(53 \%)$ and fear of getting used to hypertensive medication 32(53.3\%).

Forgetting to take hypertensive medication was indicated by $40 \%$ and fear of side effects of hypertensive drugs was mentioned by 23(38.3\%). Eighteen (30\%) of the cases thought that they were cured and $11(18.3 \%)$ say they had normal blood pressure during the previous visit. None of the cases mentioned reason of refilling drug scripts as shown in table 5.

Table 5: Barriers Contributing to Defaulting Among Hypertensive Patient Cases

| Perceived Barrier | Cases |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N = 6 0 ( \% )}$ |  |$|$|  | $24(40)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Forgetting to take medicines | $23(38.3)$ |
| Fear of side effects of the Drugs | $33(55)$ |
| Lack of funds to purchase drugs | $11(18)$ |
| Normal blood pressure during the previous visit | $37(61.6)$ |
| Time spend at the health facility | $32(53.3)$ |
| Drugs out of stock | $32(53.3)$ |
| Fear of getting used to medication | $0(0)$ |
| Problem of refilling script | $33(55)$ |
| hypertensive drugs causes diabetes | $18(30)$ |
| Thought was Cured |  |

### 3.7 Multivariate Analysis: predictors of defaulting.

Experiencing side effects caused by antihypertensive medication of Drowsiness [AOR $=8.5$; 95\% $\mathrm{CI}=2,36.2(p=0.004)]$, impaired vision $[\mathrm{AOR}=10.4 ; 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=3.1,34.8(p=0.0002)]$ and time spent at the health facility which was more than 1 to 7 hours [AOR=9.1; 95\% $\mathrm{CI}=2.7$,
$30.3(p=0.0003)]$ were independent risk factors associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients seen at outpatients in Chirumhanzu District.

Table 6: Independent risk factors associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients

| Term | Odds <br> Ratio | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | C.I. | Coefficient | S. E. | Z- <br> Statistic | P- <br> Value |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eye Problem (Yes/No) | $\underline{0.01}$ | $\underline{0.03}$ | $\underline{0.3}$ | -2.3541 | 0.6390 | -3.6839 | $\underline{0.0002}$ |  |
| Drowsiness (Yes/No) | $\underline{8.5}$ | $\underline{\underline{2.0}}$ | $\underline{36.2}$ | 2.1363 | 0.7406 | 2.8845 | $\underline{0.0039}$ |  |
| Impaired <br> (Yes/No) | vision | $\underline{10.4}$ | $\underline{3.1}$ | $\underline{34.8}$ | 2.3375 | 0.6190 | 3.7763 | $\underline{0.0002}$ |
| Time spent at health <br> facility | $\underline{9.1}$ | $\underline{2.7}$ | $\underline{30.3}$ | 2.2049 | 0.6158 | 3.5805 | $\underline{0.0003}$ |  |
| CONSTANT | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | -3.7392 | 1.0145 | -3.6857 | $\underline{0.0002}$ |  |

### 3.8.1 The resources for hypertension services

Chirumhanzu District's four hospitals had a total of 6 doctors and one clinical officer who were commencing and monitoring hypertensive patients. During the study period the four hospitals had a total of 6 sphygmomanometers in outpatient departments and only 4 were working. Stethoscopes were available as they are used individually. Adult scales were only one per each outpatient department. Snell's charts were 3 out of 4 hospitals.

### 3.8.2 Availability of Antihypertensive drugs

During the study all the four hospitals had adequate minimum stock of hydrochlorothiazide (HCT) drug and they did not experience any stock out of this drug in the past six months. Nifedipine drug was only available at one of the four hospitals only. Atenolol drug was available at 3 out of the four hospitals, those institutions with this drug, they had a minimum stock. One out of four hospitals had a supply of methyldopa. Two hospitals had a supply of Captopril and the other two had adequate supply of the drug. None of the four hospitals had a supply of Amlodipine drug.

### 3.8.3 Fees Charged for Hypertension services

The fees charged to hypertensive patients varied within the hospitals. One hospital was charging \$ 4 per each monthly visit including drugs. Another hospital was charging for consultation $\$ 2$ and an extra $\$ 1$ for each type of medication and another was charging $\$ 5$ for consultation and $\$ 1$ per pack of one month supply of drugs. The other was offering free for all drugs and consultations on hypertensive services.

There were no IEC materials on hypertension displayed or available at any of the four hospitals visited.

### 3.8.4 Challenges on providing hypertension services.

The major challenges cited during the study by health workers were that most of the patients were not affording to come to the hospitals for routine blood pressure check-ups and ended up sending relatives for resupply of antihypertensive drugs. One of the hospitals indicated that they were attending to patients with who were not from their catchment area as some come from neighbouring District of Masvingo Province hence it was difficult for follow up of defaulters.

### 4.0 Discussion

### 4.1 Demographic characteristics and factors associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients.

On Bivariate analysis having primary education as highest level of education was significantly associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients. Those with primary level were 2.4 times more likely to default hypertensive treatment. This association was also found to be modified with knowledge variables on whether HTN was controlled without taking medication as those with secondary/ tertiary level of education were 10.3 times more
likely to know that HTN cannot be controlled without taking medication. This finding is consistent with findings in Mangalore where knowledge about hypertension was poor among the lowly educated (Joseph et al., 2016). Knowledge about the hypertensive treatment regimen is a known predictor of medication adherence (Abel, Joyner, Cornelius, \& Greer, 2017; Joseph et al., 2016). However, a Jordanian study found low education to be directly correlated to adherence to antihypertensive medication (Al-Daken \& Eshah, 2017). The paradoxical Jordanian results can be attributed to convenience sampling which was used for the quantitative study design.

On Bivariate analysis those who earned an income of less than $\$ 250$ per month were 4.3 times more likely associated to defaulting among hypertensive patients as compared to those earning more than $\$ 250$ as $77 \%$ of the cases were associated with reduced likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients. Less income, as well as long transport time to the nearest health facility have been reported to impinge on adherence to antihypertensive therapy (Kakumani \& Waingankar, 2016). Hypertensive patients who were travelling more than 10 kilometres to the health facility were 16 times more likely to default hypertensive medication in this study as compared to those who lived less than 10 kilometres. In this study those using the bus to come to collect their drugs were 18 times more likely to be associated with defaulting among hypertensive patients as compared to those who were walking as it was protective from defaulting.

### 4.2 Knowledge on complications of Hypertension

In this study, there was general lack of knowledge on hypertension complications and how it can be controlled to avoid end organ damages. The respondents needing knowledge improvement in hypertension were 6 times more likely associated with defaulting hypertensive drugs as compared to controls on bivariate analysis. Knowledge is a wellestablished predictor of adherence to antihypertensive drugs (Li et al., 2016).

Thus, patient knowledge is crucial in the management of hypertension and yet is an area that is frequently neglected.

### 4.3 Individual perceptions on hypertension

### 4.3.1 Perceived susceptibility to hypertension

There was a high risk perception of developing complications of hypertension in this study as $73.3 \%$ of cases and $80 \%$ of the controls perceived they were at risk. Perceived susceptibility to hypertensive complications has been found to reduce defaulting (Kamran, Ahari, Biria, Malpour, \& Heydari, 2014).

### 4.4 Likelihood of Action

### 4.4.1 Perceived benefits of controlling hypertension

On multivariate analysis mention of prevention of eye problem as a complication of uncontrolled hypertension was independently associated with decreased likelihood to the result of uncontrolled hypertension caused by defaulting anti-hypertensive medication as the majority $90 \%$ of the cases were less likely to default among hypertensive patients as compared to $10 \%$ of the controls Adjusted Odds Ratio [ 0.1 ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.03,0.33$ ) $\mathrm{p}=0.0002$ ].

This finding is consistent with a Sudanese study where $75 \%$ of patients knew that uncontrolled hypertension could lead to stroke and heart disease; one third was aware it could lead to kidney failure; a few (15\%) knew that hypertension can cause retinopathy and peripheral vascular disease (5\%) (Hussain, Elzubier, \& Ahmed, 1999; Hussain \& Boonshuyar, 2009). This implies that the benefits of prevention of stroke and prevention of peripheral vascular diseases need to be communicated to the patients to avert the increase of strokes being caused by defaulting antihypertensive medication.

### 4.5 Perceived barriers to defaulting antihypertensive drugs by cases.

The majority of the cases $37(61.6 \%$ ) indicated that the reason for defaulting was of the long time spent at the health facility and $33(55 \%)$ it was due to lack of funds to purchase drugs. Drug stock out was mentioned by $32(53.3 \%)$. These major reasons are health care system related problems. Mutual provider-patient negotiation has been found to reduce defaulting (Schoenthaler, Knafl, Fiscella, \& Ogedegbe, 2017).

### 4.6 Cues to Action

In this study being reminded of next date for review was not significantly associated with reduced likelihood of defaulting among hypertensive patients but the majority of both cases and controls were not reminded their next date of appointment they were just told to return for resupply after a month. Despite mounting evidence for telemedicine in improving compliance, telephone reminders have not yielded significant effect sizes in improving adherence to antihypertensive medication. This may be due to operational challenges and poor compliance to telemedicine itself (Harrison \& Wild, 2017; Wood, Boulanger, \& Padwal, 2017).

Research emphasizing the cost effectiveness of controlling blood pressure and treating organ damaged by defaulting medication should be performed to find out the extent of economic loss due to treatment and rehabilitation of hypertensive patients with damaged organs.

In this study, attitude and practices of the doctors and nurses towards hypertensive patients.

### 4.7 Conclusion

Factors associated with defaulting antihypertensive drugs included having only primary education, a monthly income of less than US $\$ 250$, lack of knowledge about management of hypertension, walking more than 5 km to the health facility or unsatisfactory delays during health facility visits. People with hypertension need more health education to reinforce
understanding of drug side effects and consequences of defaulting. Clinics dedicated to noncommunicable diseases may reduce attendance time and improve quality of care for hypertensive individuals.
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