# ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF HYDROCARBON DEGRADING BACTERIA IN CRUDE OIL POLLUTED SOIL IN THE NIGER DELTA

### <sup>1</sup> EGBO W. MANSI., <sup>2</sup> PROF ONYEWUCHI AKARANTA AND <sup>3</sup> PROF GIDEON ABU

<sup>1</sup> Department of Science Laboratory technology, Bayelsa State College of Arts and Science Elebele-Ogbia, Bayelsa State. P.O.BOX. 1766, Yenagoa Bayelsa State Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Port Harcourt, Choba Rivers State.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Microbiology, University of Port Harcourt, Choba Rivers State.

Corresponding author: Egbo W.Mansi, Phone no: 08066677173. E-Mail:

egbomansi@yahoo.com

#### ABSTRACT

Bioremediation as pollution remediation technique takes advantage of the ability of some microorganisms to degrade organic matter in the environment. Bacteria are important group of microorganism that plays key role in the bioremediation process. Bacteria are ubiquitous organisms that inhabit soil water and air matrices. In this study, some hydrocarbon degrading bacteria have been isolated and identified by morphological and biochemical methods.. Eight different hydrocarbon degrading bacteria were isolated from crude polluted soil collected from oil spill site in Ikarama community in Yenagoa Local Government Area of Bayelsa State in the Niger Delta. The total population of heterotrophic bacterium enumerated per gram of each soil sample are 1.42x10<sup>7</sup>, 1.7x10<sup>7</sup> and 3.1x10<sup>8</sup> for location 1,2 and 3 respectively While population of total hydrocarbon degrading bacteria enumerated are 4.2x10<sup>6</sup>, 3.7x10<sup>6</sup> and 1.43x10<sup>6</sup> for location 1, 2 and 3 respectively. This population of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria in the soil is enough to initiate natural biodegradation of crude oil pollutant. With the right environmental conditions for the bacteria, bioremediation can be effectively deployed to remediate the spilled area.

#### Keywords: hydrocarbon, degrading, bacteria, bioremediation, isolation and characterizati

### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

Bacteria are tiny organisms that are ubiquitous in the environment. Research as shown that certain microorganisms including bacteria are capable of breaking down hydrocarbon pollutant in the environment to water, carbon dioxide  $(Co_2)$  and harmless compounds. This has made microorganisms to be applied in environmental restoration technology scientifically known as Bioremediation. Bioremediation is an environmental restoration technology that uses the activities of microorganisms to degrade and neutralize organic pollutants within the environment. It has been given different description and definitions, but all the definition given point to the same thing. Some of these definitions are:"Bioremediation is a process by which chemical substances are degraded by bacteria and other microorganisms." (Atlas, 1995). "Bioremediation is the process of using bacteria and other biological enhancements under controlled conditions to control pollution caused by different components of gasoline and fuel oxygenates in the contaminated land and groundwater"(David, L and Randy, M). Bioremediation offers the possibility of degrading, removing, altering, immobilizing, or otherwise detoxifying various chemicals from the environment through the action of bacteria and fungi {Gadd, 2001 and Singh, 2006). Bioremediation has been globally acknowledged and accepted as a cheaper, environmentally friendly and sound remediation technology. This technology has been shown in literatures that it is only possible by the activities of bacteria and fungi. Many hydrocarbon degrading bacteria species have been discovered both in marine and terrestrial environment. The biodegradation of petroleum in the marine and terrestrial environment is carried out largely by diverse bacterial populations, including various Pseudomonas species. Bacllus species, Arthrobacter which has been shown to degrade (PAHs), Brevibacterium, Brachybacterium, Cellulomonas, Corynebacterium, Detzia, Micrococcus, Mycobacterium, Nocardioides, Rhodococcus and Flavobacterium sp e.t.c. These bacteria presumably utilize hydrocarbons that are naturally produced by plants, algae, and other living organisms. They also utilize other organic matters, such as carbohydrates and proteins. When an environment is polluted with petroleum hydrocarbon, the population of hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms within the polluted environment increases rapidly because these organisms use the hydrocarbon as source of carbon and energy.

In the Niger Delta region of Nigeria, petroleum hydrocarbon pollution has been wide spread and persistent. This has made microorganisms including bacteria in the aquatic and terrestrial

environment to be resilient and adjust to the polluted environment by utilizing the hydrocarbon as source of nutrients and energy, hence making bioremediation feasible, economical, friendly, highly acceptable by the public and attractive option to clean the environment of the Niger Delta. The hydrocarbon-biodegrading bacteria populations are widely distributed in the Niger Delta marine and terrestrial environment indicating that hydrocarbon-degrading microorganisms are ubiquitously distributed in the region. This study is therefore aimed at isolating and characterizing hydrocarbon degrading bacteria in oil spill soil collected from Ikarama community in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria.

### 2.1 MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### 2.2 Locus of Study.

The study area for this research is Ikarama community where the crude oil polluted soil samples were collected. Ikarama is located in 05°09'16" N, 06°27'11 E within Okordia clan of Yenagoa Local Government Area of Bayelsa State in Southern region of Nigeria. The community is the host to the Okordia-Rumuekpe Trunk line own by Shell Petroleum Development Company (SPDC) in Bayelsa State. The community also hosts the SPDC manifold (Shell Okordia manifold). This manifold receives crude oil from oil wells around Biseni and joinkarama number (JK 4) ie Edagberi community in Ahoada West Local Government Area of Rivers State, passing through the Adibawa Flow station. The community is among the nine fishing community in Okordia – Zarama cluster under a global memorandum of understanding (GMoU) operated between them and the SPDC. Plate 1 below is a photograph showing the oil spilled land with vegetation in Ikarama community, taken during soil sample collection.



Plate 2.1: Collection of Polluted soil at the study site. (Source: field trip)

## 2.1 Materials and Method

### 2.1.1 Materials

### Chemicals and media.

The chemicals used in this study include indole stain, catalase, methyl red stain, Voges-Proskaur, coagulase, oxidase, urease and citrate. Media include Bushnell Haas media, nutrient Agar, Blood Agar which were all purchase from commercial shop in Port Harcourt. The Bushnell Haas broth medium contains MgSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2g/l), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1g/l), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (1g/l), CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.02g/l), NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (1g/l) and FeCl<sub>2</sub> (0.05g/l) Keterazol, Nutrient Agar contains : Peptide digest (5g/l), Beef extract (5g/l) Yeast extract (1.5g/l), NaCl (5g/l) and Agar (1.5g/l) PH (7.4 )

### 2.2 Method

#### 2.2.1 Isolation, enumeration of bacteria.

The bacteria were isolated from the soil sample by culturing them under growth conditions of media. (Bushnell Haas media Blood Agar and Nutrient Agar). 1g of well powdered and sieved oil polluted soil sample was weighed and dissolved in 9ml of sterilized distilled water in nine replicates and properly shaken. Each of the solution that was prepared was made up to 10<sup>-1</sup>. Each of the diluents was further serially diluted up to 10<sup>-8</sup>. 1ml of each diluent was then inoculated into sterilized Petri dish. The prepared and sterilized growth media containing Bushnell Haas media, Blood Agar and Nutrient Agar media was incorporated with Keterazol to inhibit fungi growth and then poured into each of the Petri dish containing soil water inoculums. The nine Petri dishes were span by rotating on the lab bench several times in clock wise and anti clockwise direction to ensure homogenous spreading of the inoculums. The plates were then kept for some time to set and then incubated in an upside down position at 37°C for one week, after which the plates were examined for colony growth and enumerated with magnifying hand lens. The plates showing between 30 to 300 colonies were recorded. From the counting, the total viable bacteria cells in each sample were expressed as colony forming unit (cfu/g) per gram of each soil sample. Colonies different in shape, Colour and sizes were selected from different agar plates and sub cultured for further analysis.

#### 2.2.2 Screening of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria.

For the isolation of pure culture of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria in the soil samples, A pure growth of each isolate was inoculated into newly prepared and properly sterilized Bushnell Haas Broth Medium enriched with nutrient agar. The BHBM was prepared according to manufacturers instruction. 1ml of sterilized crude oil was added to it as a source of carbon and energy in a 200ml volumetric flask. 10ml of Keterazol was also added to the newly prepared Bushnell Haas Broth Medium to prevent the growth of fungi. One flask containing the medium and crude oil but without organism inside it was kept as control. The flasks were then incubated at 28-30<sup>o</sup>C with regular shaking for two weeks. The flasks content were regularly observed for any change in optical density, colour, petroleum hydrocarbon concentration was also measured for the period of two weeks. Changes in TPH concentration were recorded during the period.

### 2.2.3 Bacteria identification.

. The bacteria isolates from the subculture were identified by biochemical test (gram reaction, motility, indole, catalase, methyl red, Voges-Proskaur, coagulase, oxidase, urease and citrate). The resultant characteristics were compared with those of known taxas using Bergey's manual of Determinate Bacteriology by Holt et al, (1994) and the scheme of Cheesbrough (2004)

Table 2.1: Result of Biochemical identification of bacteria.

Organisms	Gra	Mo	Oxid	Catala	Citr	Coagul	Urea	Ind	Met	VP	He	$H_2S$
	m	tilit	ase	se	ate	ate	se	ole	hyl		mol	
	reac	У							red		ytic	
	tion											
Pseudomonas	Neg	+	+	+	+					+	NA	
sp	ativ											
	e											
	rod							4				
Serratia sp	Neg	+	+	+	+			(	NA	NA	NA	NA
	ativ										/ y ,	
	e											
	rod											
Bacillus sp	Posi	+	+	+	+					+	NA	+
	tive											
	rod											
Staphylococcus	Posi			+		+			+	+	NA	+
sp	tive											
	rod											
Corrybacteriu	Posi			+	+			+	NA	NA	NA	NA
m sp	tive											
	rod											
Enterobacter	Neg	+		+	+					+	NA	
spp	ativ											
	e											
	rod											

Micrococcus	Posi			+					+		NA	
n	tive											
P	rod											
Flavobacteri	Neg			+	NA		NA	+	+		NA	+
um	ativ											
	e											
	rod											
Achromobac	Neg	+	+	+	+	NA	+		NA		NA	NA
ter	ativ											
	e											
	rod											
Alcaligenes	Neg	+	+	+	+	NA	NA		NA	NA		NA
	ativ											
	e											
	rod									3.0		
Escherichia	Neg			+				+	+		NA	NA
	ativ											
	e											
	rod											
Enterococcus	Posi			+	NA		NA				NA	+
sn	tive											
35	rod											
Citrobacter	Neg	+		+	+		NA		+		NA	NA
sp	ativ											
2F	e											
	rod											

(+ = positive, - negative reaction, NA= Not applicable)

Courtesy: Laboratory analysis.



Location1	Location2	Location3		
Pseudomonas sp	Pseudomonas sp	Pseudomonas sp		
	Serratia sp	Serratia sp		
Bacillus sp	Bacillus sp	Bacillus sp		
Staphylococcus sp	Staphylococcus sp	Staphylococcus sp		
Corrybacterium sp	Corrybacterium sp	Corrybacterium sp		
Enterobacter spp	Enterobacter spp	Enterobacter spp		
Micrococcusp	Micrococcusp	Micrococcusp		
Flavobacterium	Flavobacterium	Flavobacterium		
Achromobacter		Achromobacter		
Alcaligenes species	Alcaligenes species	Alcaligenes species		

Table 2.2 : Bacteria isolates from the polluted soil samples .

Table 2.3: Bacteria density in the various soil samples

Bacterial	Polluted Soil Samples locations						
count							
	1	2	3				
Total	$1.42 \times 10^7$	$1.71 \times 10^{7}$	3.1x10 <sup>8</sup>				
heterotrophic							
bacteria							
Hydrocarbon	$4.2 \times 10^{6}$	$3.7 \times 10^{6}$	$1.43 \times 10^{7}$				
utilizing							
bacteria							

# 3.1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, isolation and identification of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria in crude oil polluted soil collected from an oil spill site in Bayelsa State in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria has been carried out. The result shows that the Crude oil polluted soil contain a rich consortium of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria. The Hydrocarbon degrading bacteria isolated and identified by biochemical methods include, Pseudomonas sp, Serratia sp, Staphylococcus sp, Corrybacterium sp, Enterobacter sp, Micrococcu sp, Flavobacterium, Achromobacter, Escherichia coli, Enterococcus sp and Citrobacter sp. A Total of eleven different bacteria species were solated and identified. eight of the eleven bacteria isolated are petroleum hydrocarbon degraders. This shows that the crude oil spilled soil is capable of undergoing self restoration by natural attenuation given appropriate environmental conditions such sufficient available oxygen within inter particles soil space, sufficient micro and macro nutrients, enough moisture, suitable PH. The self restoration capacity of the soil can be enhanced by aeration of the soil by periodic tilling, sprinkling of water and addition of organic or inorganic fertilizer. Most of the bacteria isolated have been proven to biodegrade different range of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents. For example, Pseudomonas sp has been shown to biodegrade Benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, xylene, naphthalene, phenanthrene, kerosene and diesel (Watanabe, 2001). Bacillus degrade toluene and diesel (Joshi and Pandy, 2011), Alcaligenes degrade most PAHs (not specific) (Mao et al., 2012), *Micrococcus* biodegrade low molecular weight PAHs. (Othman, et al., 2011), Cornebacterium also biodegrade low molecular weight PAHs. (Othman et al., 2011), Flavobacterium also have been discovered to biodegrade PAHs (not specific) (Li et al., 2009). E.t.c The total population of heterotrophic bacterium enumerated per gram of each crude oil polluted soil sampled are  $1.42 \times 10^7$ ,  $1.71 \times 10^7$ ,  $3.1 \times 10^8$  for location 1.2 and 3 respectively While total hydrocarbon degrading bacteria  $4.2 \times 10^6$ ,  $3.7 \times 10^6$  and  $1.43 \times 10^6$ . This bacterial population is a pointer to the fact that natural biodegradation was possible because this population was enough to start biodegradation of crude in the study area. The study by Forsyth, et al, (1995) showed that biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbon can occur naturally when the population of hydrocarbon utilizing microorganisms is not less than  $10^3$  cfu/g in the polluted soil. The availability of petroleum hydrocarbon degraders in soil is a pointer to the fact the area has been exposed to crude oil pollution in the past which has therefore encourage the existence of hydrophilic bacteria in the soil. Considering the rich availability of crude oil degrading bacteria in the polluted soil, we are inclined to conclude that bioremediation can be effectively applied to remediate the oil spilled soil given the right conditions to encourage growth and multiplication of the hydrocarbon utilizing bacteria.



#### REFERENCES

Alexander, M. (1999). Biodegradation and Bioremediation, Academic Press, San Diego, CA. Alvarez, P.J.J.,

ANNEX IC. (2013) Sustainable Remediation and Rehabilitation of Biodiversity and Habitats of oil

Spill Sites in the Niger Delta. Soku Biophysical Report

Anisuddin, et al, (2005): Prevention of oil spill in seawater using locally available materials. -

Arabian Journal of Science and Engineering 30(2B): 143-152.

- Bacosa, et al,. (2012): Bacterial community dynamics during the preferential degradation of aromatic c hydrocarbons by a microbial consortium. – Internal Biodeterioration and Biodegradation 74: 109-115.
- Balba, et al, (1998): Bioremediation of oil-contaminated soil: Microbiological methods for feasibility assessment and field evaluation. Journal of Microbiological Methods 32:155-164.
- Baker, K.H., and Herson, D.S. 1994, Introduction and overview of bioremediation, in: Baker, K.H., and Herson, D.S. (Eds.), Bioremediation, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Barnet, H.L and Hunter, B.B. (1972). I llustrated genera of imperfect Fungi. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Burges publishing Company Minneapolis.
- Bartha, R., Atlas, R.M. (1977): The microbiology of aquatic oil spills. Advances in Applied Microbiology 22:225-266.
- Bartha, R. (1986): Biotechnology of petroleum pollutant biodegradation.– Microbial Ecology 12:155-172.
- Benson H.J, (2002). Microbiological Applicatio: Laboratorymanual in General Microbiology. Complete version, 5<sup>th</sup> edition McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Bruns, A., and Berthe-Corti, L. (1999): Fundibacter jadensis gen. nov. sp. nov. A new slightly halophilic bacterium, isolated from internal sediments. – International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 49: 441-448.
- Bundy et al, (2004). Combined microbial community level and single species biosensor responses to monitor recovery of oil polluted soil. Soil Biol Biochem 2004;**36**:1149-59.
- Calvo, C., et al, (2009): Application of bioemulsifiers in soil oil bioremediation processes: Future prospects. Science of Total Environment 407: 3634-3640.
- Chang, et al, (2000): Phylogenetic analysis of aerobic freshwater and marine enrichment cultures efficient in hydrocarbon degradation: effect of profiling method.– Journal of Microbial Methods 40:19-31.

Cheesbrough, M. (2004). District Laboratory Practice in Ttropical Countries. Low price edition part 2 Cambridge press, England.

Clark, R.C., and MacLoed, W.D. (1977): Inputs, transport mechanisms and observed concentrations of petroleum in the marine environment. – In Effects of petroleum on Arctic and Subarctic marine environments and organisms. D.C. Malins (Ed.), Volume 1, pp. 91-224. Academic Press, New York, United States.

Cookson, J.T. (1995). Bioremediation Engineering. Design and Application, McGraw-Hill, New York.

de Carvalho, et al, (2005): The remarkable Rhodococcus erythropolis. – Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 67: 715-726

- Eapen, S, et al, (2007), Environmental Bioremediation Technologies, Singh, S.N., and Tripathi, R.D. (Eds.), Springer.
- Ellis, D., Davis, S., Aexious, H., Handke, R. (2007). Descriptions of Medical Fungi. Second edition. Printed in Adelaide by Nexus print Solutions, Underdale, South Australia.
- Filler, et al, (2008), Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Cold Regions, Cambridge University Press.
- Fingerman, M., and Nagabhushanam, R. (2005). Bioremediation of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem, Science Publishers Inc.

Forsyth, J.V, Tsan, Y.M, Beam, R. D (1987). Bioremediation when is bioaugumentation needed. In;

Fukue, M et al, (2006), Contaminated Sediments: Evaluation and Remediation Techniques, ASTM Stock Number: STP1482.

. Gadd, G.M. 2001, Fungi in Bioremediation, Cambridge University Press.

Glass, D.J. (2005), Bioremediation of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem Fingerman, M., and Nagabhushanam, R. (Eds.), Science Publishers Inc.

Haggblom, M.M., and Bossert, I.D. 2004, Dehalogenation: Microbial Processes and Environmental Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Hardisty, P.E., and Özdemiroglu, E. 2005, The economics of groundwater remediation and protection, CRC Press.

Holt, J.G., kneg, N.R., Snath, P.H.A., J.T. and Williams, S.T. (1994) Bergey's Manual of Determinate Bacteriology. Williamsand Wilkins publisher, Maryland New York.

Illman, W.A. (2006), Bioremediation and natural attenuation : process fundamentals and mathematical models, John Wiley & Sons.

Jacobs et al,(1983).. A rapid screening method to detect ethanol production by microorganisms. *J Microbiol Methods* 1983;**1**:339 42.

Kvesitadze, G, et al, (2006), Biochemical Mechanisms of Detoxification in Higher Plants, Springer.

Lyle G, et al, 1997). Biodegradation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons by Psychrotrophic Pseudomonas Strains Possessing Both Alkane (alk) and Naphthalene (nah) Catabolic Pathways, *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1997;**63**: 3719 23.

Malatova K. (2005). Isolation and characterization of hydrocarbon degrading bacteria from environmental habitats in western New York state, Master's Thesis in Chemistry, 2005;

#### Department of Chemistry, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY.

Mackova, M., et al, (2006). Phytoremediation Rhizoremediation, Springer.

McCutcheon, et al, (2003), Phytoremediation: Transformation and Control of Contaminants, Wiley-Interscience, Inc.

Marmiroli, N.et al, (2007). Advanced Science and Technology for Biological Decontamination of Sites Affected by Chemical and Radiological Nuclear Agents, NATO Science Series - Springer.

- Michael a., et al, (1988).Microbial Metabolism of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons:Isolation and Characterization of a Pyrene- Degrading Bacterium. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, OCt. 1988, p. 2549-25550099-2240/88/102549-07\$02.00/0 Copyright C) 1988, American Society for Microbiology
- Morel, et al, (2002), Phytoremediation of Metal- Contaminated Soils, IOS Press, Amsterdam, and Springer in conjunction with the NATO Public Diplomacy Division Springer.
- Neilson, A.H., and Allard., A.-S. 2008, Environmental degradation and transformation of organic chemicals, CRC Press
- Peer, et al,. (2006), Molecular Biology of Metal Homeostasis and Detoxification: From Microbes to Man, Tamas, M.J., andMartinoia, E. (Eds.), Springer
- Pepper I.L and Gerba, C.P. (2005). Environmental microbiology. A laboratory manual second edition, Elservier academic press

Rehm, et al., 2000, Environmental Processes II -Soil Decontamination, WILEY -

. Riser-Roberts E (1992). Bioremediation of Petroleum Contaminated Sites. Boca Raton (FL):

CRC Press Inc; 1992

- Saadoun I. (2002). Isolation and characterization of bacteria from crude petroleum oil contaminated soil and their potential to degrade diesel fuel. *J Basic Microbiol* 2002; **42**:420 8.
- Saadoun, I.M.K., and Al-Ghzawi, Z.D. 2005, Bioremediation of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystem, Fingerman, M., and Nagabhushanam, R. (Eds.), Science Publishers Inc.
- Sheehan, D. (Ed.) (1997), Bioremediation Protocols, Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey.
- Singh, H. (2006). Mycoremediation: fungal bioremediation, John Wiley & Sons.

Singh, S.N., and Tripathi, R.D. 2007, Environmental Bioremediation Technologies, Springer.

Watanabe, K (2001). Microorganisms relevant to bioremediation current option in biotechnology.

Biotechnology journal. 12(3); 237 – 241.

Willey, N. (Ed.) 2007, Phytoremediation Methods and Reviews, Humana Press Inc.